INTRODUCTION

Primary intraosseous arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are rare and make up less than 1% of all vascular tumours of the bone.\(^{(1)}\) Most of them occur in the craniofacial bones. The occurrence of AVMs in other skeletal sites, including the tibia, femur, humerus, radius and spine, has been described in only nine cases to date.\(^{(1-7)}\) Herein, we report a histologically proven case of primary intraosseous AVM in the tibia. On radiography, the mass presented as a well-defined, mixed osteolytic and sclerotic lesion mimicking a fibrous tumour. However, peculiar magnetic resonance (MR) imaging features in the presence of a connecting vessel between the normal deep venous system of the lower extremity and the tumour provide a clue for the early diagnosis of primary intraosseous AVM. To the best of our knowledge, this peculiar appearance on MR imaging has never been reported.

CASE REPORT

A 20-year-old woman presented to our outpatient orthopaedic surgery clinic with a one-year history of intermittent left leg pain. However, there was no history of antecedent trauma or illness. The past medical histories of the patient and her family were unremarkable. Results from laboratory tests were normal. Physical examination showed no other abnormalities such as discolouration, swelling, or bruising of the skin or soft tissue of her left leg.

Figs. 1–4 show our imaging findings. No identifiable periosteal reaction, cortical thinning or soft tissue mass was noted in our patient. Based on the location of the lesion, the age of the patient and the imaging features observed, a fibrous tumour was the first clinical impression. Microscopic histological examination revealed intraosseous vascular proliferation composed of crowded blood vessels, replacing the marrow cavity, accompanied by a distorted vascular wall and abundant fibrous tissues (Fig. 5a). Masson’s trichrome staining revealed the presence of elastic laminae and fibres (Fig. 5b). The histological features of this vascular anomaly in our patient are consistent with those of an intraosseous AVM. Our patient underwent resection of the intraosseous lesion and remained disease-free six months post operation.

DISCUSSION

Vascular anomalies are classified as haemangiomas and vascular malformations. The most commonly used system for biological classifications of vascular anomalies is that by Mulliken and Glowacki.\(^{(8,9)}\) This system categorises vascular anomalies into either tumours (principally haemangiomas) or malformations, based on clinical and histological findings. Vascular malformations may present at birth and enlarge proportionately with the growth of the child. They are the result of errors in morphogenesis and are divided into subtypes such as capillary, venous, lymphatic, arterial and combined forms, based on the constituent vessels involved. Malformations may be further subcategorised based on flow characteristics.\(^{(10-13)}\) High-flow
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lesions consist of any malformation with an arterial component (e.g. AVMs and arteriovenous fistulas). Low-flow lesions refer to capillary, lymphatic and venous malformations.

AVMs contain well-formed arterial and venous elements that communicate directly, rather than through a normal capillary network. They are distinguished from other vascular lesions by the triad of dilated feeding arteries, enlarged draining veins and early filling of these veins. Histologically, the presence of arteries, arterioles, or both as an integral part of the AVMs (demonstrated using Verhoeff’s elastic stain) is often used as a diagnostic criterion for differentiating AVMs from haemangiomas.

While AVMs often involve the soft tissue and bone, primary intraosseous AVMs that first occur within the bone are extremely rare. Most cases of primary intraosseous AVMs occur in the mandible, maxilla and zygoma. The occurrence of primary intraosseous AVMs in other skeletal sites, including theibia, femur, humerus, radius and spine, has been described in only nine cases to date (Table I). Based on these reports, primary intraosseous AVMs occurred at all ages, at the mean age of 21 (range 7–59) years; however, all but one of the patients were younger than 30 years old. Intraosseous haemangiomas are reported in older patients, with the peak diagnosis being made in patients in their fifties. The male to female ratio of primary intraosseous AVM incidence is 4:6, which is similar to that of intraosseous haemangioma incidence. A total of eight cases (including the present case) reported the occurrence of primary intraosseous AVMs in the long tubular bones of the extremities, and another two cases reported AVM occurrence in the vertebra of the spine.

The clinical manifestations of primary intraosseous AVMs are protean. Based on the nine reported cases, painful sensation over the affected area is the most common presentation, occurring in nine out of ten patients, while four in ten patients present with a pulsatile mass in the area of interest. However, while primary intraosseous AVMs can be associated with skin discolouration, swelling and bruising, or on rare occasions, cause high-output cardiac failure, it can also be completely asymptomatic.

On radiography, most primary intraosseous AVMs of long tubular bones are described as a central, longitudinally oriented, medullary lytic geographic lesion, occasionally accompanied by sclerotic margins. A hypertrophic nutrient artery groove, appearing as longitudinal radiolucency in the bone cortex, was found in three cases. The anatomic location of the lesions was exclusively at the diaphysis in cases where the tibia and femur were involved. The other two cases of primary intraosseous AVMs involving the humerus and radius arose from...
the metadiaphysis. Primary intraosseous AVMs of the spine were demonstrated as purely lytic or mixed lytic, and sclerotic lesions with a sclerotic margin, distinct from the coarse trabeculations with a corduroy pattern of intraosseous haemangiomas of the spine. Neither aggressive periosteal reactions nor associated soft tissue masses were found in the ten reported cases.

The AVM in our patient presented on radiography as a solitary, nonaggressive central diaphyseal lytic lesion of the long bone with a thick sclerotic border. The differential diagnosis included fibrous dysplasia, fibroxanthoma, osteofibrous dysplasia, adamantinoma, desmoplastic fibroma and vascular tumour. These fibrous lesions of the bone appear osteolytic on plain radiography and often involve the anterior tibia. Vascular tumours of the tibia are relatively rare and mimic fibrous tumours on plain radiography, which means that they are often misdiagnosed. MR imaging is very sensitive in the detection of intramedullary pathology, and to the best of our knowledge, our report is the first to illustrate the MR features of primary intraosseous AVM in the radiologic literature.

Only four reported cases (including the present case) have been examined by MR imaging, and exclusively demonstrated a lesion with isointensity or hypointensity on T1-weighted imaging and hyperintensity on T2-weighted imaging. However, in these four cases, the most perceptible MR imaging features characteristic of soft tissue AVMs – a tangle of multiple signal voids representing the dysplastic vessels in the centre of the lesion, associated with dilated tortuous feeding arteries and draining veins – could not be detected. In our case, the presence of a connecting vessel between the normal deep venous system of the lower extremity and the lesion is a clue that aids in the early diagnosis of primary intraosseous AVM.

The mainstay of therapy for patients with primary intraosseous AVMs includes conservative therapy, intra-arterial embolisation, surgery, and a combination of these treatments. Ivalon, n-butyl 2-cyanoacrylate (glue), Spongostan, ethanol and microcoils are the most commonly used embolic agents. The potential complications of intra-arterial embolisation include soft tissue infection, osteomyelitis, osteonecrosis and recurrent bleeding, but the most common complication is skin injury with a 10% incidence rate. The endothelium in intraosseous AVMs is not hyperplastic. These cells have a normal turnover rate, and are thus no more susceptible to antiproliferative treatments than the surrounding tissues. This renders treatments such as radiation...
Table I. Findings in the reported cases of intraosseous arteriovenous malformations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Gender/age (yr)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Pulsatile mass</th>
<th>Radiography</th>
<th>Magnetic resonance imaging</th>
<th>Angiography</th>
<th>Bone scan</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>F/20</td>
<td>Tibia/diaphysis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Central medullary lytic lesion with thick sclerotic margin</td>
<td>Isointense lesion on T1-W, hyperintense lesion on T2-W with a connecting vessel to the normal arterial system of the lower extremity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knych et al(1)</td>
<td>M/7</td>
<td>Tibia/diaphysis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Central medullary lytic lesion, hypertrophic nutrient artery groove</td>
<td>Hypointense lesion on T1-W and slightly hyperintense lesion on T2-W</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuyama et al(2)</td>
<td>M/15</td>
<td>Tibia/diaphysis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Central medullary honeycomb lytic lesion, cortical thinning, slight bony expansion</td>
<td>Isointense lesion on T1-W, hyperintense lesion on T2-W with nodular and linear hypointense areas on both T1-W and T2-W</td>
<td>Faint stain of the lesion</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Surgical curettage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katzen and Said(3)</td>
<td>F/28</td>
<td>Tibia/diaphysis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Central medullary lytic lesion, hypertrophic nutrient artery groove, cortical defect</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Early arteriovenous shunting, hypertrophied nutrient artery</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>Embolisation (Gelfoam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savader et al(4)</td>
<td>M/14</td>
<td>Tibia/diaphysis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Central medullary lytic lesion</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Early arteriovenous shunting</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>Conservative treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F/28</td>
<td>Humerus/metadiaphysis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Central medullary lytic lesion with thick sclerotic margin</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Early arteriovenous shunting</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>Conservative treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F/22</td>
<td>Radius/metadiaphysis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Central medullary lytic lesion</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Early arteriovenous shunting</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Conservative treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancarrow et al(5)</td>
<td>F/9</td>
<td>Femur/diaphysis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Small central medullary lytic lesion, hypertrophic nutrient artery groove</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Early arteriovenous shunting, hypertrophied nutrient artery</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>Embolisation (coils and Avitene)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina et al(6)</td>
<td>M/8</td>
<td>Vertebra L4/lamina</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Round lytic lesion with thick sclerotic margin</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis et al(7)</td>
<td>F/59</td>
<td>Vertebra T5/posterior element</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Expansive mixed lytic and sclerotic lesion with anterior sclerotic margin (on CT), cortical erosion</td>
<td>Isointense lesion on T1-W and hyperintense lesion on T2-W</td>
<td>Feeding artery from T5 intercostal artery</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Embolisation (coils) and surgical resection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT: computed tomography; F: female; IU: increased uptake; M: male; NA: not applicable; T1-W: T1-weighted; T2-W: T2-weighted
and chemotherapy ineffective. Total surgical resection is generally considered the optimal therapy for AVMs because of their tendency to expand and recur with time. Intra-arterial embolisation has met with promising success as both an adjunct to surgery and the primary therapy.

We described a rare case of primary intraosseous AVM involving the diaphysis of the tibia, with radiographic features mimicking a fibrous tumour. With intraosseous AVM, the imaging features typical of a soft tissue AVM are frequently absent, making diagnosis difficult. However, the presence of peculiar MR features, such as a connecting vessel between the normal deep venous system of the lower extremity and the tumour, aids in the early diagnosis of primary intraosseous AVM. Knowledge of its radiographic and MR imaging features can help in the early recognition of this exceptional entity preoperatively, prevent unnecessary biopsy (which carries with it the risk of potentially large haemorrhage), and allow adequate treatment via either transcatheter embolisation or surgery.
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