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INTRODUCTION

Faecal incontinence (FI) is a stigmatised condition that remains a silent affliction for many populations. The primary objectives of the present study were to determine the prevalence of FI in the general Singapore population and to identify possible at-risk groups. It is our hope that this study, the first of its kind in Singapore, will give us valuable insight into this often neglected but significant condition in the local context, as well as enable healthcare administrators to more efficiently allocate the necessary resources to meet the needs of these vulnerable subgroups of patients.

METHODS

We identified a minimum sample size of 380 subjects for this study, based on a 10% estimated prevalence of FI in the general population and a 3% (95% confidence interval) margin of error. Via a computer-generated number allocation system, 1,000 subjects above the age of 21 years were randomly selected from the national electoral roll. In view of the prevailing data that women are at risk of developing FI, we sampled 500 subjects from each gender (i.e. gender-stratified sampling was performed) to reduce sampling bias.

The primary component of the questionnaire used was the Comprehensive Fecal Incontinence Questionnaire (CFIQ), a validated and reliable assessment tool designed and tested in the general population of Auckland, New Zealand, by Macmillan et al. The CFIQ assesses both the severity of FI and its impact on quality of life. In addition, to assess whether the subjects perceived that they were suffering from a bowel control problem, the CFIQ included a single binary ‘yes/no’ question at the start of the questionnaire.
The Wexner Continence Grading Scale\(^{(5)}\) was adopted to define and grade the severity of FI in the present study, given the need for sensitivity in FI definition and a validated assessment tool for measuring severity of FI. In addition, we identified the Rockwood Fecal Incontinence Quality of Life (FIQOL) scale\(^{(6)}\) as a standardised assessment tool for evaluating the impact of FI on lifestyle in patients. A higher score on the Wexner Continence Grading Scale indicates a higher severity of FI (maximum: 20; minimum: 0, indicating perfect continence), while a higher score on the FIQOL scale indicates a lower reduction in the patient’s quality of life due to FI.

The validity of the data collected by various methods for stigmatised conditions such as FI has been criticised in previous studies.\(^{(5,6)}\) It has been suggested that for conditions that patients deem embarrassing, a self-administered, anonymous questionnaire would yield results of greater validity than face-to-face interviews, as patients are expected to be less forthcoming with their answers when faced with an interviewer.\(^{(6)}\) However, we have not found this to be the case in our local Asian population. In a pilot study involving 1,000 participants who attended a public forum on FI in August 2012, we achieved a mere 10% response rate for the CFIQ, despite the application of a selected study population, anonymity, persistent data collection methods and simplified return of the CFIQ (in the form of postage-paid, self-addressed envelopes). We felt that the poor response could be due to a combination of factors, including the heterogeneity of our local population (in terms of spoken language and education level) and general public apathy.

Therefore, we opined that in our local context, it was more prudent for trained interviewers to administer the questionnaire via a face-to-face interview in the privacy of the participants’ own homes. This method of data collection would allow the trained interviewers to: (a) personally reassure the participants of data confidentiality; (b) assist the participants in interpreting the questionnaire items; and (c) improve the participation rate by reducing the hassle of having to return the filled questionnaires. For this study, a field team of ten interviewers were trained to administer the questionnaire using a standardised technique, and deployed to perform house-to-house interviews with the 1,000 randomly selected subjects from February to March 2013.

The data collected from the present study was analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Pearson’s chi-square test and Kruskal-Wallis test were applied, where appropriate, and all statistical tests performed were assessed at the conventional 0.05 level of significance.

**RESULTS**

Of the 1,000 subjects randomly selected and approached, 381 (52.8% female, 47.2% male) agreed to participate in the present study, yielding a 38.1% response rate. The mean age of the participants was 52 (range 21–86) years. Among the female participants surveyed, 73.1% had previously given birth, with 78.8% having undergone a normal vaginal delivery (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>180 (47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>201 (52.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (yrs)*</td>
<td>52 (21–86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-bearing status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given birth</td>
<td>147 (73.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never given birth</td>
<td>54 (26.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>103 (70.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>31 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>13 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data is presented as mean (interquartile range).

**Table II. Fecal Incontinence Quality of Life\(^{(5)}\) scores of the study cohort (n = 381).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Mean score (range)</th>
<th>Maximum score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle</td>
<td>34.5 (23–40)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping/behaviour</td>
<td>22.5 (11–36)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression/self-perception</td>
<td>20.5 (8–28)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embarrassment</td>
<td>7 (4–12)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results of the present study, the overall prevalence of FI in the local population was 4.7%. Statistical analysis revealed a statistically significant association between FI and female gender (p = 0.029), with women being three times more likely to suffer from FI than men. A significant correlation between FI and increasing age was also noted (p = 0.004). Participants aged ≥ 53 years were found to have a fivefold increased probability of suffering from FI when compared with their younger counterparts (aged < 53 years). FI was not found to be significantly associated with child-bearing status (p = 0.909) and normal vaginal delivery (p = 0.087), although the latter did show a trend toward significance.

The median score for FI severity (measured using the Wexner Continence Grading Scale) was 5 (range 1–16). Analysis of the FIQOL scores revealed that FI sufferers scored poorly in the categories, coping/behaviour (22.5 out of 36) and embarrassment (7 out of 12) (Table II).

**DISCUSSION**

FI is a socially stigmatising condition, in which the afflicted frequently suffers in silence. This has, among other reasons, posed tremendous challenges on researchers and healthcare professionals alike, in their effort to determine the pervasiveness of the condition. The paucity of conclusive prevalence data within Asian populations, combined with the lack of public outreach programmes and established treatment protocols, has led to the undeserved neglect of FI sufferers.

The present study is the first cross-sectional population study on FI in Singapore. Previous studies have been criticised for biased sampling of study populations due to the difficulty faced in recruiting patients for a study on a socially embarrassing...
Studies that rely on convenience sampling (e.g. the use of hospitalised patients or patients attending medical reviews) tend to yield a higher prevalence rate, as it is likely that the recruited patients have medical conditions that could indirectly lead to a higher incidence of FI, and/or a greater awareness of their physical well-being when compared to healthy individuals. Therefore, it is not surprising that the local prevalence rate in our study (i.e. 4.7%) is on the lower end of the spectrum when compared to other population studies. In fact, the prevalence rate obtained from the present study is lower than that noted in our pilot study (i.e. 8.8%) involving participants attending a public forum on FI in August 2012. This further illustrates the importance of not relying on convenience sampling when conducting studies on socially embarrassing conditions. Nonetheless, the prevalence rate obtained in the present study suggests a startling estimate of up to 200,000 people who might be suffering from this affliction.

The validity of data collection methods has been placed under scrutiny by many authors, particularly when the topic studied is a socially sensitive one. Dare et al suggested that, for socially stigmatising behaviours/conditions, the validity of data collected via face-to-face or telephone interviews would be lower than that of data collected via anonymous self-administered questionnaires. They postulated that patients are likely to feel embarrassed about the behaviours/conditions, and therefore have a greater likelihood of under-reporting the symptoms and other relevant information when faced with an interviewer. However, in our pilot study conducted on 1,000 participants at a public forum on FI in August 2012, only 10% of the participants returned their questionnaires, despite having been provided with self-administered anonymous questionnaires (CFIQ), self-addressed postage-paid envelopes, and a dedicated telephone hotline and fast-tracked clinic appointment service for sufferers. These findings led us to postulate that, in the context of Singapore’s multicultural population (with varying educational backgrounds, spoken languages and cultural beliefs), the use of a single-language, self-administered questionnaire is possibly less effective in reaching out to the general population than private interviews. In particular, we noted that the elderly attending our colorectal clinics generally avoid sharing potentially embarrassing symptoms unless they have been reassured by a medical professional on the privacy of their conversation.

As such, our group adopted a face-to-face interview method of data collection, with interviews administered by a team of medical students who had been trained to administer the CFIQ. This method of data collection allowed us to reach the sample size required for the present study, as well as ensure that there were no ‘non-response’ items in the questionnaires, providing a high degree of fidelity within our collected data. The results of the present study support the assumption made regarding data collection, through the yield of much improved response rate. More importantly, the results offer an insight into the difference that exists between Asian and Western cultures in terms of how FI is perceived.

The significant correlation between FI and female gender is reaffirmed in the present study, which found women to be three times more likely to suffer from FI than men. While some studies have shown that factors such as intrapartum instrumentation, sphincter damage, fetal weight > 4 kg and prolonged second stage of labour are significantly associated with FI, conflicting data exists as to whether Caesarean delivery or childbearing status has any significant correlation with the incidence of FI. Lal et al found no significant difference in the incidence of FI among a group of patients who delivered vaginally, a group who underwent elective Caesarean delivery and a group who underwent emergency Caesarean delivery. Nygaard et al, who extended the follow-up period of obstetric patients to 30 years after delivery, found that the incidences of faecal incontinence among women who underwent vaginal delivery and among women who underwent Caesarean delivery were similar (approximately 37%). In the present study, we also found no significant association between FI and delivery status or mode of delivery. One possible explanation for this finding is that our local standards of perinatal and obstetric care likely minimises the extent of obstetric-related injuries during vaginal delivery. Furthermore, as Singapore is a small island-state, expectant mothers have the advantage of quick access to a delivery-suite and are seldom subject to unnecessary prolonged labour, as compared to our Western counterparts.

Based on the results of the present study and reports in the prevailing literature, it can be surmised that advanced age and an often shorter anal canal length in the female gender are likely contributing factors to the incidence of FI. As we have identified the at-risk groups – females and individuals above the age of 52 years – efforts should be made to routinely screen these individuals for signs of FI. As a majority of patients would not volunteer such information due to embarrassment, it is the clinician’s responsibility to actively engage them through appropriate questioning and a conscientious search for clues (e.g. stains on undergarments and/or perianal skin excoriation) that could identify a person who is silently suffering from FI. It is our hope that the findings of the present study will encourage collaboration between the Ministry of Health and clinicians to broaden ongoing public outreach efforts, as well as to develop clinical programmes that will provide the much needed treatment to fight this ‘silent epidemic’.

To conclude, the present study is the first to establish the prevalence rate of FI in Singapore. The 4.7% FI prevalence rate, together with a significant correlation with increasing age and female gender, suggests that this socially distressing condition is likely to emerge as a significant health issue, which will accompany the impending ‘silver tsunami’ of our greying population. In a conservative Asian society where many patients choose to suffer in silence, clinicians have a duty to bridge the knowledge gap and shatter the stigma of embarrassment associated with FI, so as to provide the necessary treatment to optimise our patients’ quality of life. The establishment of the Pelvic Floor Disorders Service in Singapore General Hospital (SGH) is both timely and necessary to address this concern. SGH’s public outreach efforts continue to raise public awareness of FI, and its state-of-the-art therapeutics seeks to offer the best patient outcomes for patients afflicted with FI.
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