A bibliometric study of scientific research conducted on second-generation antipsychotic drugs in Singapore
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INTRODUCTION A bibliometric study was carried out to ascertain the volume and impact of scientific literature published on second-generation antipsychotic drugs (SGAs) in Singapore from 1997 to 2011.

METHODS A search of the EMBASE and MEDLINE databases was performed to identify articles originating from Singapore that included the descriptors ‘atypical antipsychotic’, ‘second-generation antipsychotic’, ‘clozapine’, ‘risperidone’, ‘olanzapine’, ‘ziprasidone’, ‘quetiapine’, ‘perospirone’ and ‘blonanserin’ in the article titles. Certain bibliometric indicators of production and dispersion (e.g. Price’s Law on the increase of scientific literature, and Bradford’s Law) were applied, and the participation index of various countries was calculated. The bibliometric data was also correlated with some social and health data from Singapore, such as the total per capita expenditure on health and gross domestic expenditure on research and development.

RESULTS From 1997 to 2011, a total of 51 articles on SGAs in Singapore were published. Our results suggested non-fulfilment of Price’s Law (r = 0.0648 after exponential adjustment vs. r = 0.2140 after linear adjustment). The most widely studied drugs were clozapine (21 articles), risperidone (16 articles) and olanzapine (8 articles). Division into Bradford zones yielded a nucleus occupied by the Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology (6 articles) and the Singapore Medical Journal (4 articles). The analysed material was published in a total of 30 journals, with the majority from six journals. Four of these six journals have an impact factor greater than 2.

CONCLUSION Publications on SGAs in Singapore are still too few to confirm an exponential growth of scientific literature.
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INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a severe mental illness that constitutes a prototype of psychiatric disorders, although its aetiopathogenic basis is not entirely known. According to epidemiological studies, its prevalence fluctuates between 0.5% and 1.0% in the population.1 The World Health Organization classifies this illness as among the top ten disorders causing a greater disability in the adult population.2

During the last 60 years and following the introduction of chlorpromazine into clinical practice, the mainstay treatment of schizophrenia has been based on the use of antipsychotic drugs.3 The first drugs, termed ‘first-generation drugs’ (i.e. classical or typical drugs), showed great efficacy in reducing positive symptoms (e.g. hallucinations and delusions); however, a main limitation of these drugs was the onset of extrapyramidal side effects (EPS). The reintroduction of clozapine in the United States – a neuroleptic commercialised in the 1960s that was later withdrawn due to its ability to induce agranulocytosis4 – brought a dramatic change in research expectations. This agent, apart from causing few EPS, showed clinical efficacy in improving both positive and negative symptoms in patients who were refractory to other first-generation antipsychotic drugs.5 The reintroduction of clozapine, together with the introduction of risperidone in 1993,6 opened the door to ‘atypical antipsychotics’,7 also known as second-generation antipsychotic drugs (SGAs).

The advances achieved in the field of antipsychotic drugs in the past 30 years have been incredibly important, leading to the clinical introduction of numerous SGAs (Table I). These agents have notably improved the quality of life of psychotic patients and contributed toward reducing the stigma associated with such a crippling disease.8 Following the clinical introduction of new antipsychotics in 1993 and the subsequent authorisation of their use for the treatment of bipolar disorder in 2003, research efforts related to these drugs saw a manifold increase.9 We hypothesised that this increase in research would have correlated with an increase in the volume of published scientific literature on these drugs and thus proceeded to analyse published scientific literature on SGAs in Singapore.
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Although Singapore is an emergent country with a highly developed, market-based economy, it has a short psychiatric history. A substantial proportion of people in Singapore rely on a mixture of Western and traditional medicines, and seek help from spiritual healers or practitioners of traditional medicine; in some instances, this results in delayed psychiatric treatment.\(^9\)

There are about 115 practising psychiatrists in Singapore, giving a psychiatrist-to-population ratio of 2.6/100,000, which is low compared to other developed countries such as the United States of America (USA), which has a psychiatrist-to-population ratio of 13.7/100,000.\(^10\)

However, the Ministry of Health in Singapore has supported the local development of the field of psychiatry and the use of evidence-based practice guidelines; it has also published clinical practice guidelines on schizophrenia\(^9\) and bipolar disorder\(^11\) to provide doctors and patients in Singapore with evidence-based guidance on the management of these disorders.

Bibliometric studies are useful tools for assessing the social and scientific relevance of a given discipline or field, and may be of particular interest to different health professionals such as policy makers, researchers and clinicians.\(^15\) In recent years, our group has, using a bibliometric approach, studied the evolution of scientific literature in psychiatry pertaining to the different aspects of different psychiatric disorders and the specific therapeutic modalities being pursued within the field of psychopharmacology.\(^8,11-17\) In the present study, we had the specific aim of conducting a bibliometric assessment of the scientific literature published on SGAs in Singapore from 1997 to 2011.

**METHODS**

Both the MEDLINE (Index Medicus, US National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) and Excerpta Medica (Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, Netherlands) databases were used in this bibliometric study. These two databases are considered to be the most exhaustive databases in the biomedical field, with participation in the EMBASE Biomedical Answer web (Elsevier BV, Amsterdam, Netherlands).


This study, which was based entirely on an analysis of secondary published materials, was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (Comité de Ética de la Investigación) of Camilo José Cela University, Madrid, Spain, and conformed to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (Edinburgh revision, 2000).

Price’s Law was among the bibliometric indicators of production applied.\(^18\) Price’s Law reflects exponential growth, which is a fundamental aspect of scientific productivity. To assess the growth of scientific publications on SGAs

| Table I. Clinical development of second-generation antipsychotic drugs. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Drug**                | **Company name** | **Year**        | **Country of launch** |
| Clozapine               | Wander Laboratories | 1972*          | Switzerland          |
| Zotepine                | Fujisawa         | 1982*          | Japan               |
| Amisulpride             | Synthelabo       | 1986           | Portugal             |
| Risperidone             | Johnson & Johnson | 1993           | UK/Canada            |
| Sertindole              | Abbott Laboratories | 1996*         | UK                  |
| Olanzapine              | Eli Lilly        | 1996           | USA/UK              |
| Quetiapine              | AstraZeneca      | 1997           | USA/UK              |
| Ziprasidone             | Pfizer           | 2001           | USA                 |
| Perospirone             | Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma | 2001 | Japan                |
| Aripiprazole            | Otsuka/Bristol-Myers Squibb | 2002 | USA                 |
| Paliperidone            | Janssen Pharmaceutica | 2007 | USA                 |
| Blonanserin             | Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma | 2008 | Japan               |
| Asenapine               | Schering-Plough  | 2009           | USA                 |
| Iloperidone             | Novartis AG      | 2009           | USA                 |
| Lurasidone              | Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma | 2011 | USA                 |

*Reintroduced in 1990 in USA and UK after being withdrawn from the market in 1975. †Commercialised by Astellas in Germany in 1990. ‡Marketing authorisation was suspended by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 1998 and the drug was withdrawn from the market. In 2002, based on new data, the EMA suggested that sertindole could be reintroduced for restricted use and with extensive electrocardiography monitoring. UK: United Kingdom; USA: United States of America [Sources: PharmaProjects, PJB Publications Ltd, 2012; R&D Insight, ADIS International, 2012; International Marketing Services Health, 2012]
in Singapore was in agreement with Price’s Law of exponential growth, linear and exponential adjustments were made to the data obtained, using the following equations:

Linear adjustment: \( y = 0.125x - 2.4 \) (\( r^2 = 0.0458 \))

Exponential adjustment: \( y = 2.4835e^{0.0102x} \) (\( r^2 = 0.0042 \))

Similar adjustments were also made for total publications on antipsychotic drugs (typical and atypical) in Singapore. The following equations were used:

Linear adjustment: \( y = 0.2607x - 3.8476 \) (\( r^2 = 0.1432 \))

Exponential adjustment: \( y = 3.8528e^{0.04x} \) (\( r^2 = 0.1338 \))

Bradford’s Law was used as a bibliometric indicator of the dispersion of scientific information. With the aim of revealing the distribution of scientific literature in a particular discipline, Bradford proposed a model of concentric zones of productivity (termed as Bradford zones) with decreasing densities of information. Each of these zones would contain a similar number of articles, but with the number of journals in which these articles are published increasing as one passes from one zone to another. The model permits the identification of journals that are most widely used or have the greatest weightage in a given field of scientific production.

Impact factor (IF) was used as an indicator of the weight of the journal. The IF was developed as an indicator by the Institute for Scientific Information (Philadelphia, PA, USA), and it is published annually under the Journal Citation Reports (JCR) section of the Science Citation Index (SCI). The IF of a journal is calculated based on the number of times a journal has been cited in the source journals of the SCI during the previous two years, as well as the total number of articles published by the journal in question during the two-year period. The IF data for 2010, which was published in the 2011 JCR, (Journal Citation Reports, Thomson Reuters, 2011) was used in the present study.

The index of collaboration between authors (i.e. signatures/document or authors/paper index) was also applied. This index shows the quotient between the number of authors and the total number of articles collected in the database. Another indicator included in our analysis was the national participation index (PI) of Singapore for overall scientific publication (i.e. the ratio of the number of articles generated by Singapore to the total number of articles on the topic). The PI of Singapore was compared with the global PI for biomedicine and health sciences, particularly the global PI for the areas of psychiatry and neurology. The PI was also correlated with the corresponding PIs of the world’s ten most productive countries, in terms of scientific literature, during the years 1997–2011. Other comparisons included a correlation of the PI with health data such as total per capita expenditure on health and gross domestic expenditure on research and development (R&D). Relevant health data were sourced from the publications of the Organisation of Economic and Co-operative Development Health Division and the World Health Organization’s Department of Health Statistics and Informatics.

RESULTS

From 1997 to 2011, we retrieved 51 articles from Singapore that dealt with various aspects of SGAs (21 on clozapine; 16 on risperidone; 8 on olanzapine; 4 on aripiprazole; 2 on quetiapine; 1 on paliperidone; 1 on sertindole), and 89 articles related to total antipsychotic drugs. No article on ziprasidone, aripiprazole, amisulpride, zotepine, iloperidone, lurasidone, perospirone or
blonanserin was found. It should be noted that perospirone and blonanserin are not available in Singapore.

There has only been a slight increase in the number of publications on SGAs generated in Singapore over the last 15 years (Fig. 1). Mathematical adjustments to the exponential and linear curves confirmed that the increase in the literature for both SGAs and total antipsychotic drugs did not conform to the postulates of Price’s Law, possibly due to the small sample of publications (Fig. 2). The relative five-year growth of publications on SGAs and total antipsychotics in Singapore during the period studied, with the 2007–2011 interval taken as reference, is shown in Fig. 3. The cumulative growth in the literature was lower than the overall global growth of scientific output in the field of biomedicine and health sciences, and slightly less in the specific field of psychiatry and neurology within Singapore.

Table II shows the results of the application of Bradford’s model to the scientific journals in which articles on SGAs were...
The mean no. of articles per Bradford zone was 8.5. The mean no. of articles per Bradford zone when the last Bradford zone was excluded was 10.

Table III. The top six journals with the highest number of articles published on second-generation antipsychotic drugs in Singapore.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal name</th>
<th>No. of articles</th>
<th>Participation index</th>
<th>Impact factor*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>4.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore Medical Journal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>1.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>2.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>4.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Psychopharmacology Clinical and Experimental</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>2.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data extracted from Journal Citation Reports, Thomson Reuters, 2011.

The mean number of articles per Bradford zone, when the last zone (which had a lower accuracy) was not taken into account, was 10. The nucleus (i.e. the first zone) included the Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology (6 articles) and Singapore Medical Journal (4 articles). The remaining journals that were analysed were included in zones 2–6. The analysed material was found to have been published in a total of 30 different journals. However, six journals accounted for 47.06% of all articles retrieved on our searches (Table III), and the journals most extensively used for the dissemination of research on SGAs had reasonably high IFs, with four journals having IF > 2.

On manual classification, 37.26% of the articles retrieved were found to belong to the clinical efficacy group, 31.37% to the tolerance and/or safety group, 19.62% to the experimental pharmacology group and 11.65% to the not specified group (which mainly included reviews of different SGAs and articles on prescribing patterns). Clinical studies were mostly on schizophrenia (n = 12), with two on bipolar disorder. The collaboration index (i.e. quotient of studies with ‘n’ signatories), which is indicative of the cooperation between authors during article production, was 4.54 authors/article and it was found to have increased during the study years by 13.66% (from 4.44 during the 1997–2001 five-year period to 5.04 during the 2007–2011 five-year period).

The general publication output on SGAs from Singapore (PI = 0.32) was low when compared to the worldwide publication output during the period analysed. Table IV shows how Singapore compares with the top ten most productive countries in the fields of biomedicine and health sciences, and psychiatry and neurology. USA (PI = 31.63), followed by the United Kingdom (UK), Germany, Canada, Italy and Spain were the six most productive countries in terms of publication output on SGAs. However, when the productivity of these countries on SGAs was assessed in relation to their overall productivity in the field of psychiatry and neurology, only Spain was found to have a higher percentage of research on SGAs (Fig. 4). Singapore occupied the third position in this ranking. The distribution obtained from the analysis of the correlation between PI and the per capita health expenditure of each of the aforementioned countries is shown in Fig. 5.

The most productive institutions in Singapore in relation to the material under study were the Institute of Mental Health of Woodbridge Hospital (n = 24) and the National University of Singapore (n = 7), both accounting for 60.78% of the total production of literature on SGAs in Singapore. However, all inferences regarding the most productive institutions in Singapore were drawn solely based on the information available in the AD field in the EMBASE Biomedical Answer web database.

**DISCUSSION**

Bibliometric studies constitute interesting tools for assessing the social and scientific importance of a given discipline over a specific period of time. Despite their methodological limitations, these studies permit an overview of the growth, size and distribution of scientific literature related to a particular discipline, as well as the study of the evolution of not only the biomedical speciality, field of specialisation or issue in question, but also the scientific production of an institution, country, author or research group. Previous bibliometric studies have drawn attention to a series of limitations characteristic of this sociometric approach. For example, international scientific production in any particular field (e.g. SGAs, as is the case in the present study) is much more extensive than what is indexed in the usual databases (i.e. many journals or contributions made to scientific conferences and meetings are not indexed in such databases). Nevertheless, the recognised quality of the publications included in the databases selected for the present study and their extensive coverage imply that the articles retrieved from our searches would constitute more than a representative sample of the international research on the area in question.

Our study design allowed us to make a global assessment of the growth of the scientific literature on SGAs in Singapore. We found that the number of scientific publications on SGAs had only increased slightly in Singapore in recent years, with no evident exponential growth (correlation coefficient, $r = 0.0648$ after exponential adjustment vs. $r = 0.2140$ after linear adjustment).
mirroring patterns reported earlier for other emergent East Asian countries such as Taiwan and South Korea.\(^{16,17}\) Our inferences showed a close correlation with the prescription data reported in recent pharmacoepidemiological studies by the Research on Asian Psychotropic Prescription (REAP) project for countries in this region (e.g. China, Hong Kong, Japan, Korea, Singapore and Taiwan).\(^{23,24}\) According to Nakano et al, the prescribing patterns for SGAs showed a significant increase in the years 2001 (45.5%), 2004 (64.7%) and 2008 (76.6%).\(^{23}\) However, in Singapore, Xiang et al reported that the corresponding increase was slower (2001, 6.7%; 2004, 16.5%; 2009, 50.0%).\(^{24}\) The findings of these authors show a strong correlation with the results of the present study, as our analysis suggested that research on SGAs had grown in Singapore, although not exponentially.

The vast development seen in the scientific literature on SGAs has largely coincided with their approval for marketing by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other international regulatory agencies for the treatment of bipolar disorder. Since 2004, other SGAs such as risperidone, ziprasidone, quetiapine, aripiprazole and asenapine have been approved for the treatment of manic episodes, while olanzapine and aripiprazole have been approved for the prevention of relapse in patients with bipolar disorder.\(^{25}\) Quetiapine is indicated as monotherapy for the acute treatment of depressive episodes associated with bipolar disorder, and the olanzapine-fluoxetine combination

Table IV. The relative publication output on second-generation antipsychotic drugs (SGAs) of Singapore and the top ten most productive countries in biomedicine and health sciences, for the period 1997–2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Biomedicine &amp; health sciences (n = 11,678,458)</th>
<th>Psychiatry &amp; neurology (n = 1,265,556)</th>
<th>SGAs (n = 16,019)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>25.85</td>
<td>39.71</td>
<td>31.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>6.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UK: United Kingdom; USA: United States of America
has been recommended for treating treatment-resistant major depressive disorders. In addition, aripiprazole was approved in 2007 by FDA as an add-on to antidepressants for the treatment of treatment-resistant major depression.\(^{(25)}\) SGAs are also commonly used, and studied, in numerous off-label indications for conditions such as substance-induced psychosis, agitation symptoms, tics and substance-abuse disorders.\(^{(26,27)}\)

Another aspect of interest in relation to scientific production that we analysed in the present study, is the quality of the publications. The fact that prestigious journals such as the Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology (IF = 4.857) and the International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology (IF = 4.699) published articles on SGAs from Singapore indicates the growing relevance of research in Singapore in the recent years. Notably, four among the six journals in which research was most widely cited had a significant impact in the field of psychiatry (IF > 2).

As we have shown in earlier studies,\(^{(16,17)}\) scientific research on antipsychotic drugs is one of the fastest growing areas within the field of psychiatry. Other authors, with the use of bibliometric tools, have similarly reported more extensive research on schizophrenia than other fields of psychiatry.\(^{(28)}\) According to Clement et al, the more expansive investigation on schizophrenia may have positive correlations with the clinical perception that this illness is more serious than other psychiatric pathologies.\(^{(28)}\) Theander and Wetterberg reported that the number of citations on schizophrenia in the MEDLINE database (which accounted for 0.42% of the total literature published during the period studied) reflected the general increase in the number of medical publications.\(^{(29)}\)

With regard to the PI of the different countries in the production of scientific literature on SGAs, USA and UK, two major English-speaking countries, head the ranking; these two countries generate over a third of the total scientific publication in the field (38.55%). The fact that these two countries are home to many of the major pharmaceutical companies responsible for the development of SGAs (e.g. Eli Lilly – olanzapine; Janssen Pharmaceutica – risperidone and paliperidone; AstraZeneca – quetiapine; Pfizer – ziprasidone; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Otsuka Pharmaceutical – aripiprazole) may explain these countries’ high PIs. In the other countries that appear near the top in the ranking for production of scientific literature on SGAs with respect to general productivity in the field of psychiatry and neurology (e.g. Spain, Italy and Canada),

**Fig. 5** Graph shows the per capita health expenditure and the relationship between the production of scientific literature on second-generation antipsychotic drugs, and per capita health expenditure and gross domestic expenditure on R&D in the world’s ten most productive countries in biomedicine and health sciences, and Singapore.


OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; PI: participation index; PPP: purchasing power parity; R&D: research and development; UK: United Kingdom; USA: United States of America; USD: United States dollars; WHO: World Health Organization.
their high ranking is a reflection of the importance given by these countries to research on SGAs. A similar finding can be observed in Singapore, where although scientific production on SGAs is small, it is important in the field of psychiatry and neurology. Chong et al\(^9\) noted that the impact of research on mental health in Singapore is not evident, and that there was a relatively lower interest in SGAs in other East Asian countries such as Japan. The factors influencing the paucity of psychiatric research in Singapore are many, including Singapore’s low psychiatrist-to-population ratio (2.6 per 100,000 population), the shortage of other mental health professionals (e.g., psychiatric nurses, clinical psychologists and psychiatric case managers), the general perception that psychiatrists get lower monetary remunerations than other medical specialists, the inclination of psychiatric centres to cater more to clinical services, the larger stigma associated with mental illness in Singapore, as well as the continued and relatively high consumption of traditional medicines in the country.\(^9\)

The correlation of the production of scientific literature on SGAs with the per capita health expenditure of each country offered a different perspective to our findings. In general, there was confirmation of the perception that the higher the spending on health, the greater a country’s research production. However, it was striking to note the low ratios of countries such as Australia, France and Singapore. In spite of Singapore having the world’s third highest gross domestic product per capita (purchasing power parity of USD 59,936), the country had a relatively prudent health expenditure when compared to other developed countries. The correlation analysis of the production of scientific literature on SGAs and other gross domestic expenditure on R&D revealed that France, Australia, Japan and Singapore occupied the bottom four positions.

In terms of pharmacoepidemiological observations in published studies, there has been an overall increase in the prescription of SGAs over time for the management of psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia in Singapore, as compared to the prescription of first-generation antipsychotics.\(^10\),\(^11\) This is consistent with similar trends in Asia.\(^12\) Sim et al have even reported the use of SGAs to be associated with the use of lower doses of antipsychotic drugs.\(^13\) With regard to specific SGAs, data on clozapine use in Singapore showed some increase in prescription, and this contributed to the analyses within the REAP study, which associated the use of clozapine with more severe illness (i.e., more frequent admissions, greater severity of delusions, disorganisation, negative symptoms) but with less EPS.\(^14\)

When reviewing and assimilating the results of the present study, it would be prudent to exercise caution against overinterpreting its findings in view of its inherent limitations. First, articles where the team of authors included authors from Singapore were selected for inclusion irrespective of whether they were based overseas or in Singapore. Such a criterion, however, may have led to the exclusion of articles in which the authors did not specify an affiliation with a specific clinical or academic institute in Singapore. To minimise this, we sought the help of various individuals familiar with relevant research literature from Asia and Singapore, as well as searched for relevant articles using various search engines, including the MEDLINE, EMBASE and Biomedical Answer web databases. Second, the use of IF as an indicator of the merit or quality of scientific contributions is still a matter of much debate. A low citation count might not necessarily mean that the article was less impactful – it could mean that the given topic of study is ‘not yet mature’ and that other studies are still ongoing. Third, only studies published during 1997–2011 were included in our review, thus excluding other efforts conducted or published prior to this period. Nonetheless, in spite of the present study’s many limitations, bibliometric studies are useful for assessing the social and scientific relevance of a given discipline or field. Bibliometric studies constitute an effective complement to the opinions and judgements of experts in every field, and can provide useful and objective data for evaluating the results of scientific activity, offering realistic indications of trends, as well as predicting how such trends might evolve over time.

In conclusion, the present study provides a glimpse into the evolution of research on SGAs in Singapore vis-à-vis the parameters of quality and dissemination that are most commonly used at an international level. SGAs formed the top drug class in terms of market share (USD 14.6 billion per year) in the year 2008 in USA.\(^10\) Given the ever-growing importance of this class of drugs, the fact that an ideal antipsychotic drug has yet to be found,\(^15\) and the fact that the exact aetiopathogenesis of schizophrenia remains to be revealed, we are of the opinion that research on SGAs will continue to grow in the coming years.
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