INTRODUCTION

A lymphocele is a lymphatic collection around the renal transplant and urinary bladder. It can arise from either the lymph that drains through the lymphatic vessels in the sinus of the transplanted kidney or the lymphatic vessels surrounding the iliac vessels of the recipient. The reported incidence of lymphoceles ranges widely, from 1%–2% to as high as 20%. Factors associated with the aetiology of lymphoceles include acute rejection, length of surgery, extent of dissection and use of immunosuppressants. Small lymphoceles might be asymptomatic, but large ones can cause compression of the pelviccalyceal system, resulting in hydronephrosis and worsening renal function. The most common symptoms of lymphoceles are abdominal pain, lower limb oedema and urinary retention in the transplanted kidney, resulting in impaired graft function. Ultrasonography is the most common diagnostic tool used in the visualisation of lymphoceles. The aim of this study was to review the epidemiology, presentation, treatment and outcome of patients who underwent renal transplantation at our institute and subsequently developed lymphoceles.

METHODS

Between January 2005 and November 2008, 154 renal transplantations were performed at the Singapore General Hospital, Singapore. Although these procedures were performed by various surgeons at our institution, the surgical techniques used were the same. The renal graft was placed in the iliac fossa, vessels were anastomosed to the iliac vessels and the ureter was implanted into the bladder. All lymphatic channels of the recipient’s iliac arteries and veins encountered during dissection were ligated with small titanium clips or diathermised, depending on the individual surgeon’s preference. A double J stent was routinely inserted in the implanted ureter. A surgical drain was also inserted.

Baseline ultrasonography of the transplanted kidney was performed postoperatively and repeated whenever indicated. Patients with lymphoceles were managed conservatively if they were asymptomatic. However, intervention was considered necessary if the patient presented with hydronephrosis and worsening renal function. Intervention included percutaneous drainage of the collection, surgical drainage via laparoscopic marsupialisation or open drainage. Percutaneous drainage was routinely performed under ultrasonographic guidance by an interventional radiologist. The lymphatic collection was aspirated until dry, and no sclerosant injections were used for any of the patients. In patients who underwent laparoscopic drainage, the omentum was inserted into the peritoneal window to prevent closure, whereas this was not necessary in those who underwent open surgical drainage, as the peritoneal window was large. The fluid aspirated from the collection was routinely sent for measurement of creatinine level to exclude the possibility of urinoma.
RESULTS
Out of the 154 patients who underwent renal transplantations, lymphoceles occurred in 9 (5.8%) patients. The median onset of occurrence was 19 (range 6–28) days after surgery. The median age of patients diagnosed with lymphocele was 46 (range 34–58) years. The median operative time was 180 mins. The median maximum diameter of the lymphoceles was 5 (range 1.5–8.0) cm. Diagnosis of lymphocele was made by ultrasonography, and no aspiration of the lymphocele was performed in the patients. The site of occurrence of lymphoceles included the inferior (n = 5), lateral (n = 2), upper (n = 1) and medial (n = 1) poles of the transplanted kidneys. Eight out of the nine patients (88.9%) diagnosed with lymphocele had received cadaveric transplants, while 1 (11.1%) patient received a living transplant from a relative. Out of these nine patients, 7 (77.8%) had no hydronephrosis at presentation. Postoperatively, 2 (22.2%) patients developed clinically significant hydronephrosis, 4 (44.4%) had clinically elevated creatinine levels (Table I), and 6 (66.7%) were on macrolides such as tacrolimus, sirolimus and everolimus. Although there were no delayed graft functions, there was one case of acute graft rejection.

Of the nine patients with lymphoceles, five were initially treated conservatively (Fig. 1). Among these five patients, three required subsequent intervention in the form of either percutaneous drainage or surgical intervention – two were managed successfully using percutaneous drainage, and one required repeated percutaneous drainage. The four patients who did not receive conservative treatment initially were treated with percutaneous drainage from the onset; however, due to failure of percutaneous drainage, two of these four patients had to undergo surgical treatment. In all, four patients were surgically treated because of failed percutaneous drainage, with drainage via the open method performed in three patients and laparoscopic drainage in one patient. Fluid culture was positive for Klebsiella spp. in only one out of seven patients who required intervention. Among the three patients who eventually underwent open drainage, two each had a sizeable lymphocele measuring > 4 cm, and one had significant hydronephrosis and positive culture. In patients for whom conservative treatment failed, indications for intervention were an enlarging lymphocele size leading to worsening of renal function. There was no graft loss in any of the nine patients with lymphoceles. There was also no recurrence documented among any of the patients during the follow-up period (median 40 [range 38–84] months).

DISCUSSION
The reported incidence of symptomatic lymphoceles in the literature is 0.6%–18.0%.(1) The incidence of lymphoceles in our study was 5.8% (n = 9), which is comparable to that reported in the literature. Although lymphoceles can be harmless and asymptomatic in many patients, they can also seriously affect renal function and may necessitate surgical intervention. Although asymptomatic lymphoceles do not require therapy, it is important that regular ultrasonographic monitoring continues until the collection is resolved. In the case of a large or symptomatic collection, therapy aims to efficiently and completely remove the collection. However, there is no gold standard of treatment for lymphoceles.

Percutaneous aspiration of the collection is associated with a high incidence (50%–100%) of recurrence.(2) Some authors have recommended laparoscopic marsupialisation as the first-line treatment of lymphoceles,(2,3) while others have suggested initial percutaneous aspiration under ultrasonographic guidance, followed by sclerotherapy to prevent reaccumulation of fluid.(4–6) Percutaneous aspiration of lymphoceles has been shown

### Table I. Clinical presentations and use of immunosuppressants in the renal transplantation patients with lymphoceles (n = 9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Immunosuppressants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Asymptomatic*</td>
<td>Cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Raised creatinine</td>
<td>Tacrolimus, myfortic, prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Asymptomatic*</td>
<td>Azathioprine, prednisolone, cyclosporine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Raised creatinine</td>
<td>Azathioprine, tacrolimus, cyclosporine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Asymptomatic*</td>
<td>Cyclosporine, myfortic, prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Raised creatinine with hydronephrosis</td>
<td>Mycophenolate mofetil, sirolimus, prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Asymptomatic*</td>
<td>Everolimus, cyclosporine, prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Asymptomatic*</td>
<td>Everolimus, cyclosporine, prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Raised creatinine with hydronephrosis</td>
<td>Sirolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, prednisolone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Routine ultrasonography was conducted in asymptomatic patients.
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Fig. 2 Chart shows the management algorithm of patients with lymphoceles in the local setting.

To reduce the risk of recurrence (range 13%-33%), as has additional sclerotherapy (range 6%-25%), Common sclerosing agents used in sclerotherapy are doxycycline and ethanol. Other sclerosing agents include povidone-iodine, talc and bleomycin. The use of sclerosing agents is generally considered safe and highly successful, although some reports have suggested that they have toxic effects on graft functions and may even cause graft loss. Surgical interventions for lymphoceles include marsupialisation, or external drainage via open or laparoscopic technique. The recurrence rates of lymphoceles following laparoscopic marsupialisation (range 6%-12%) and open drainage (range 6.7%-13%) are comparable. Laparoscopic marsupialisation is the recommended surgical intervention for lymphoceles, as it is less invasive, and has shorter operative time and hospital stay, as well as better cosmesis.

In our study, conservative and less invasive treatment, such as percutaneous drainage, was successful in 55.5% of patients. Among the four patients who had surgical intervention, one underwent laparoscopic marsupialisation and three underwent open drainage. Consistent with the results from other centres, three of these patients had larger sized lymphoceles (> 6 cm in diameter). We opted to perform open drainage in three patients under surgical intervention, as two of them had a history of previous abdominal surgery and one patient’s collection was close to the hilum. There was no recurrence of lymphocele in any of our patients, regardless of the kind of treatment (percutaneous or surgical) used.

Although the surgical management of lymphoceles has remained largely unchanged, it remains unclear whether the introduction of newer immunosuppressants, such as tacrolimus, sirolimus and everolimus, has contributed to the increasing numbers of patients developing lymphoceles after renal transplantation. While studies have shown that sirolimus is a powerful immunosuppressant for renal transplant recipients, its use has been associated with a higher frequency of lymphocele formation. According to Srivastava et al, the incidence of lymphocele in patients treated with sirolimus is slightly higher than in those receiving mycophenolate mofetil. Even though the exact reasons for the development of lymphocele are still uncertain, avoiding the use of sirolimus in renal transplant recipients at the early postoperative period may help to reduce the incidence of lymphocele in these patients. Based on the results obtained from our local setting, we have developed an algorithm for the management of post-transplantation lymphoceles (Fig. 2).

The initial decision on whether a post-transplantation patient with lymphocele should be treated conservatively would depend on factors such as the size of the lymphocele, the symptoms observed, as well as the presence of hydronephrosis, impaired renal function or concomitant infection. If treatment is warranted, percutaneous drainage should be offered to patients. However, for patients with a collection > 6 cm in diameter, surgical options should be considered. In the event of a failed percutaneous intervention, surgical drainage should be offered to the patient. Laparoscopic drainage may also be offered, unless contraindicated due to small volume (< 100 mL) of fluid, previous abdominal surgery, suspicion of infection, as well as location of lymphocele near the bladder, ureter or renal hilum.

In summary, the incidence of lymphocele was 5.8% in our series. Even though surgical techniques have remained unchanged for the most part, it is still unclear whether the introduction of newer immunosuppressants has contributed to the higher incidence of lymphoceles in post-renal transplantation patients. Intervention is required if the lymphocele causes an impairment in the drainage of the pelvicalyceal system. We found that although minimally invasive intervention was effective in treating lymphoceles, it did not provide a definitive treatment. Percutaneous drainage was found to be consistently associated with a higher recurrence rate, prolonged hospital stay, higher costs and more complications. Surgical intervention should be considered earlier in the treatment of patients with post-transplantation lymphoceles so as to shorten hospital stay and prevent further complications.
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